

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,552	03/25/2004	Yasushi Yatsuda	ST3001-0038 4922	
39083 7590 08/23/2007 CERMAK KENEALY & VAIDYA, LLP			EXAMINER	
515 EAST BRADDOCK RD SUITE B			TON, ANABEL	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/808,552	YATSUDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anabel M. Ton	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	<u>une 2007</u> .	C			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,7-10 and 12-36 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,7-10 and 12-36 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/808,552

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5,7-10,12-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant attempts to recite a relation between a given ridgeline and a second ridgeline, but fails to identify either in the drawings or explain where a given and second ridgeline are located with respect to the base.
- 4. As best understood the following rejection applies.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2875

2. Claims 1,5,7,9,10,13,14,18,22, 24-26,34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thominet (6.565.247).

Thominet discloses a base (12); a plurality of LED elements located adjacent the base (10); and a light shielding device located adjacent the base and formed in the shape of a light distribution pattern (16), wherein the LED elements are arranged in such a manner as to correspond to the shape of the light shielding device (fig 1), and in such a manner as to form an emission shape suited for a light distribution pattern for a vehicle headlight (abstract), and at least some of the LED elements are arranged along a given ridgeline(the LEDs arranged along the upper, lower, right or left sides satisfies this limitation); a drive device capable of supplying different drive currents to the LED elements, wherein at least one of the LED elements is driven by a different drive current as compared to another of the LED elements (col.4-5 lines 50-67, 1-25 respectively), with regards to claim 9, inherently the base of Thomniet has at least one cavity for accommodating the LED's since the leads of the LEDs must be soldered through the base/pc board in order to be electrically connected thereof. Thominet is considered to have the LEDs arranged in such a manner as to form a brightness distribution suitable for a vehicle headlight; a projection lens (14), With regards to claim 14, since the LEDs of Thomniet are capable of illuminating individually or in groups, the limitation of "formed in a non symmetrical array" is considered to be satisfied; the optical axis is located a positioning the substantial center of the array (fig 2),

Application/Control Number: 10/808,552 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 2-4,8,12,15-17,19-21,23,27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomniet.

- With regards to the LED's being different in size one compared to the other, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the LED's of Thomniet different in size, since it has been held by the courts that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device, and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device. In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984),). It appears that the disclosed device would perform equally well shaped as disclosed by Thomniet since the end result of the device is to provide a light distribution pattern for a headlight.
- With regards to the LED's being triangular, rectangular parallelogramic or square
 in shape, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time
 the invention was made to include these shapes in the shapes of the LEDs
 provided by Thomniet, since it has been held by the courts that a change in
 shape or configuration, without any criticality, is nothing more than one of

Art Unit: 2875

numerous shapes that one of ordinary skill in the art will find obvious to provide based on the suitability for the intended final application. See *In re Dailey*, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976). It appears that the disclosed device would perform equally well shaped as disclosed by Thominet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT

Chall

Application/Control Number: 10/808,552

Art Unit: 2875

Page 6